arXiv:1403.6682v1 [math.RA] 26 Mar 2014

TOTAL LINKAGE OF QUATERNION ALGEBRAS IN CHARACTERISTIC TWO

ADAM CHAPMAN, ANDREW DOLPHIN, AND AHMED LAGHRIBI

Abstract. We study the subfields of quaternion algebras that are quadratic extensions of their center in characteristic 2. We provide examples of the following: Two nonisomorphic quaternion algebras that share all their quadratic subfields, two quaternion algebras that share all the inseparable but not all the separable quadratic subfields, and two algebras that share all the separable but not all the inseparable quadratic subfields. We also discuss quaternion algebras over global fields and fields of Laurent series over a perfect field of characteristic 2 and show that the quaternion algebras over these fields are determined by their separable quadratic subfields.

Keywords: Quaternion algebras, quadratic forms, linkage, splitting fields, characteristic two, Laurent series.

Mathematics Subject Classification (MSC 2010): 16K20; 11E04, 11E81.

1. Introduction

Let F be a field. It is well known that a quadratic field extension of F is a splitting field of some quaternion algebra Q with center F if and only if it is isomorphic to a subfield of Q (see, for example, [2, §14]). This raised the question of to what extent do the quadratic extensions of F contained in Q determine the structure of the algebra and motivated the study of 'linked' quaternion algebras. That is, quaternion algebras that share a common quadratic extension of F as a subfield.

In [6], Garibaldi and Saltman studied the subfields of quaternion algebras over fields of characteristic not 2, and in particular over number fields. They gave an example of two non-isomorphic quaternion algebras that share all their quadratic subfields and, conversely, gave a sufficient condition on a field for every quaternion algebra over that field to be determined by its quadratic subfields.

Here we consider this question in characteristic 2. Over fields of characteristic 2 this question is complicated by the fact that two types of quadratic extension are possible, separable and inseparable, and every quaternion algebra contains quadratic subfields of each type. One can show that if two quaternion algebras share an inseparable quadratic subfield then they share a separable quadratic subfield, but that the converse is not always true (see [10]). This result motivated the consideration of two different types of 'linkage' in characteristic 2, depending on whether the shared subfield of two quaternion algebras over F was a quadratic separable or a quadratic inseparable extension of F. This result was also generalized to Hurwitz algebras in [3] and the translation of these results into quadratic form theory was further generalized in [5], where various types of linkage are considered for Pfister forms in arbitrary dimension.

In this article, we show how to construct quaternion algebras over fields of characteristic 2 that are not isomorphic but share all of their quadratic subfields. We also show how to construct quaternion algebras that share all of their inseparable quadratic subfields but not

all of their separable quadratic subfields and quaternion algebras that share all of their separable quadratic subfields but not all of their inseparable quadratic subfields, showing that the above linkage result does not have a natural analogue if we consider sharing all quadratic subfields of a certain type.

Finally, we consider quaternion algebras over global fields and fields of Laurent series over a perfect field in characteristic 2. We show that over such fields, quaternion algebras are determined by their separable quadratic subfields.

2. Quadratic forms

Throughout this article, let F be a field of characteristic 2. We denote the multiplicative group of F by $F^\times$ and the additive group $\{x^2+x \mid x\in F\}$ by $\wp(F)$.

We recall the basic facts we use from the theory of quadratic forms over fields. We refer to [4] as a general reference. For $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $a_1,\dots,a_n,b,c\in F$, we denote the quadratic form (F^n,q) where $q:F^n\to F$ is given by $(x_1,\dots,x_n)\mapsto\sum_{i=1}^n a_ix_i^2$ by $\langle a_1,\dots,a_n\rangle$ and the quadratic form (F^2,q') where $q':F^2\to F$ is given by $(x,y)\mapsto bx^2+xy+cy^2$ by $[b,c]$.

We have the usual notions of isometry $\simeq$ and orthogonal sum $\perp$ of quadratic forms (see [4, p.40 and p.42]). By [4, (7.32)], for every quadratic form ρ over F, there exist elements $a_1,b_1,\dots,a_n,b_n\in F$ and $c_1,\dots,c_m\in F$ such that

$$[a_1,b_1]\perp\ldots\perp[a_n,b_n]\perp\langle c_1,\dots,c_m\rangle\,. \tag{1}$$

We say that a quadratic form ρ as in (1) is *nonsingular* if $m=0$ and *singular* otherwise. If $n=0$ we say ρ is *totally singular*. The form $\langle c_1,\dots,c_m\rangle$ is uniquely determined by ρ, and we call it the *quasilinear part of* ρ. We use the following isomorphism, which can be checked directly. For $a,b,c,d\in F$ we have

$$[a,b]\perp[c,d]\simeq[a+c,b]\perp[c,b+d].$$

Consider a quadratic form $\rho=(V,q)$ over F. We call $\dim_F(V)$ the *dimension of* ρ and denote it by $\dim(\rho)$. We say ρ is *isotropic* if $q(x)=0$ for some $x\in V\setminus\{0\}$ and in this case we call x an *isotropic vector of* ρ. Otherwise we say that ρ is *anisotropic*. We say that ρ *represents an element* $a\in F^\times$ if there exists an $x\in V\setminus\{0\}$ such that $q(x)=a$. If ρ represents every element in $F^\times$, then we call it *universal*. For $c\in F^\times$ let $c\rho$ denote the quadratic form (V,cq), where $(cq)(x)=c(q(x))$ for $x\in V$. Let $\rho_1=(V,q)$ and $\rho_2=(W,q')$ be quadratic forms over F. We say ρ_1 is *similar to* ρ_2 if there exists a $c\in F^\times$ such that $\rho_1\simeq c\rho_2$. We say ρ_2 is a *subform of* ρ_1 if there exists a quadratic form ρ_3 over F such that $\rho_1\simeq\rho_2\perp\rho_3$.

We call the quadratic form $[0,0]$ the *hyperbolic plane* and denote it by $\mathbb{H}$. Note that the hyperbolic plane is universal. Let ρ be a nonsingular quadratic form over F. Then there exists an anisotropic nonsingular quadratic form ρ' and an nonnegative integer n such that $(V,q)\simeq\rho'\perp n\times\mathbb{H}$. In this decomposition the integer n is uniquely determined and ρ' is uniquely determined up to isometry. We call ρ' *the anisotropic part of* ρ (see [4, (8.5)]). We denote the anisotropic part of ρ by ρ_{an}. We call the integer n *the Witt index of* ρ and denote it by $i_W(\rho)$. The form ρ is called *hyperbolic* if $\dim(\rho)=2i_W(\rho)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let ρ and ψ be anisotropic nonsingular quadratic forms over F. Then ρ is a subform of ψ if and only if $i_W(\rho\perp\psi)=\dim(\rho)$.*

Proof. See [7, (2.16)]. □

If ρ be as in (1). If ρ is nonsingular then the class of $a_1b_1+\ldots+a_nb_n$ in $F/\wp(F)$ is an invariant of ρ called *the Arf invariant* (see [4, (13.5)]). We denote this invariant by $\Delta(\rho)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let ρ and ψ be 2–dimensional nonsingular quadratic forms over F. Then $\Delta(\rho) = \Delta(\psi) \mod \wp(F)$ if and only if ρ is similar to ψ. In particular, $\rho \simeq \mathbb{H}$ if and only if $\Delta(\rho) \in \wp(F)$.*

Proof. See [4, (13.2)]. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let ρ and ρ' be 2–dimensional quadratic forms over F representing 1. If ρ is similar to ρ' then $\rho \simeq \rho'$.*

Proof. Note that for all non-zero elements a represented by ρ we have $a\rho \simeq \rho$. If $\rho \simeq \langle 1, 0\rangle$, this is obvious, otherwise it follows from [4, (9.9) and (10.3)]. If ρ is similar to ρ' then there exists an element $a \in F^\times$ such that $\rho \simeq a\rho'$. As ρ' represents 1, it follows that ρ represents a. Therefore we have that $\rho \simeq a\rho \simeq a^2\rho' \simeq \rho'$. □

For $a, b \in F^\times$, we denote the 4–dimensional form $[1, a] \perp b[1, a]$ by $\langle\langle b, a]]$. Note that a quadratic form isomorphic to $\langle\langle b, a]]$ for some $a, b \in F^\times$ is called a 2–*fold Pfister form* (see [4, §9]). Pfister forms have the property that they are either anisotropic or hyperbolic (see [4, (9.10)]). Note that if π is a 2–fold Pfister form over F then $\Delta(\pi) \in \wp(F)$. Indeed, by [4, (13.7)], we have, for a 4–dimensional quadratic form ρ, that $\Delta(\rho) \in \wp(F)$if and only if ρ is similar to a 2–fold Pfister form.

Let $\rho = (V, q)$ be a quadratic form over F and let K/F be a field extension. Then we write $\rho_K = (V \otimes_F K, q_K)$ where the quadratic map $q_K : V \otimes_F K \to K$ is determined by $q_K(v \otimes k) = k^2 q(v)$ for all $v \in V$ and $k \in K$.

If $\dim(\rho) \geqslant 3$ or if ρ is a anisotropic 2-dimensional form, then we call the function field of the projective quadric over F given by ρ the *function field of* ρ and denote it by $F(\rho)$. In the remaining cases we set $F(\rho) = F$. This agrees with the definition in [4, Section 22].

Proposition 2.4. *Let π and ρ be anisotropic 4–dimensional quadratic forms over F.*

1. *If π is a 2–fold Pfister form, then $\pi_{F(\rho)}$ is hyperbolic if and only if π is similar to ρ.*
2. *If ρ is singular and π is nonsingular, then $\pi_{F(\rho)}$ is anisotropic.*
3. *If ρ is singular, π is nonsingular and $\Delta(\pi) \notin \wp(F)$, then $\rho_{F(\pi)}$ is anisotropic.*
4. *If π and ρ are nonsingular, $\Delta(\pi) \notin \wp(F)$ and $\Delta(\rho) \notin \wp(F)$, then $\pi_{F(\rho)}$ is isotropic if and only if π is similar to ρ.*
5. *If π and ρ are singular but not totally singular, then $\pi_{F(\rho)}$ is isotropic if and only if π is similar to ρ.*

Proof. For (1) see [4, (23.5)]. For (2)–(5) see [9, (1.3) and (1.4)]. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $K = F(x)$, where x is an indeterminate. Then $[1, x]$ is anisotropic over K.*

Proof. Suppose that $\rho = [1, x]$ is isotropic. By dividing an isotropic vector of ρ by an appropriate power of x^2, we can find $f_1, f_2 \in F[x^{-1}]$ such that $f_1^2 + f_1 f_2 + x f_2^2 = 0$. We may also assume that f_1 and f_2 are not both divisible by x^{-1}. The equation $x^{-1} f_1^2 + x^{-1} f_1 f_2 + f_2^2 = 0$ shows that f_2 is divisible by x^{-1}. Hence $f_2 = x^{-1} f_3$ for some $f_3 \in F[x^{-1}]$. Then $f_1^2 + x^{-1} f_1 f_3 + x^{-1} f_3^2 = 0$, so f_1 is divisible by x^{-1}, contradicting our assumptions on f_1 and f_2. □

Proposition 2.6. *Let $K = F(x)$, where x is an indeterminate. Let φ and ψ be anisotropic quadratic forms over F. Then $\varphi_K \perp x\psi_K$ is anisotropic.*

Proof. See [4, (19.6)]. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let $K = F(x, y)$, where x and y are indeterminates. Then $\langle\langle y, x]]$ is anisotropic over K.*

Proof. This follows immediately from (2.6) and (2.5). □

We denote the Laurent series in one variable over F by $F((t))$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $K = F((t))$. Let φ and ψ be anisotropic quadratic forms over F. Then $\varphi_K \perp t\psi_K$ is anisotropic.*

Proof. See [4, (19.5)]. □

3. Quaternion algebras

We refer to [2] as a general reference on finite-dimensional algebras over fields. By a *quaternion F–algebra* we mean a 4–dimensional central simple F–algebra. We say that a quaternion F–algebra Q *contains a field K* if there exists a subfield of Q isomorphic to K. Let Q and Q' be a quaternion F-algebras. If Q and Q' are isomorphic we write $Q \simeq Q'$. We let $\mathrm{Trd}_Q : Q \to F$ denote the reduced trace map and $\mathrm{Nrd}_Q : Q \to F$ denote the reduced norm map (see [2, §22, Def. 2] for the definitions). We call elements of the set $\{x \in Q \mid \mathrm{Trd}_Q(x) = 0\}$ the *pure quaternions of Q* and denote them by Q_{pr}.

Any quaternion F–algebra has a basis $(1, u, v, w)$ such that

$$u^2 = u + a, v^2 = b \text{ and } w = uv = v - vu\,, \tag{2}$$

for some $a \in F$ and $b \in F^\times$ (see [2, §14, Thm. 1]); such a basis is called a *quaternion basis*. Conversely, given $a \in F$ and $b \in F^\times$, the above relations determine an quaternion F–algebra, which we denote by $[a, b)_F$. By the above, up to isomorphism any quaternion F–algebra is of this form.

Considering Q as an F-vector space, the pair (Q, Nrd_Q) is a 4–dimensional quadratic form over F. Moreover $(Q_{\mathrm{pr}}, \mathrm{Nrd}_Q|_{Q_{\mathrm{pr}}})$ is a 3–dimensional quadratic form over F. Let $Q \simeq [a, b)_F$ for some $a \in F$ and $b \in F^\times$ and let $(1, u, v, w)$ be a quaternion basis for Q satisfying the relations in (2). Then for $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \in F$ and $x = c_1 + c_2u + c_3v + c_4w$ we have $\mathrm{Nrd}_Q(x) = c_1^2 + c_1c_2 + ac_2^2 + b(c_3^2 + c_3c_4 + ac_4^2)$ and $\mathrm{Trd}_Q(x) = c_2$. That is, $(Q, \mathrm{Nrd}_Q) \simeq \langle\langle b, a]]$ and $(Q_{\mathrm{pr}}, \mathrm{Nrd}_Q|_{Q_{\mathrm{pr}}}) \simeq \langle 1\rangle \perp b[1, a]$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Q and Q' be quaternion F–algebras. Then $Q \simeq Q'$ if and only if $(Q, \mathrm{Nrd}_Q) \simeq (Q', \mathrm{Nrd}_{Q'})$. In particular, Q is non-division if and only if (Q, Nrd_Q) is hyperbolic.*

Proof. See [4, (12.5)]. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let Q be an quaternion F–algebra and $c \in F \setminus F^2$. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *Q contains $F(\sqrt{c})$.*
2. *$Q \simeq [a, c)_F$ for some $a \in F$.*
3. *$(Q_{\mathrm{pr}}, \mathrm{Nrd}_Q|_{Q_{\mathrm{pr}}})$ represents c.*

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) follows from [2, §14, Thm. 4]. For $x \in Q_{\mathrm{pr}}$, we have $x^2 = \mathrm{Nrd}_Q(x)$. Hence (2) implies (3) and (3) implies (1). □

Lemma 3.3. *Let Q be a quaternion F–algebra and $F(\alpha)/F$ be a field extension such that $\alpha^2 + \alpha = c \in F \setminus \wp(F)$. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *Q contains $F(\alpha)$.*
2. *$Q \simeq [c, a)_F$ for some $a \in F^\times$.*
3. *$[1, c]$ is s subform of (Q, Nrd_Q).*

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) follows from [2, §14, Thm. 5]. That (2) implies (3) is clear. If $[1, c]$ is s subform of (Q, Nrd_Q), then there exists an element $x \in Q$ such that $x^2 + x = c$, and hence $F(x) \simeq F(\alpha)$. Therefore (3) implies (1). □

Corollary 3.4. *Let $Q = [a, b)_F$ for some $a \in F$ and $b \in F^\times$ such that Q is division. Let $L = F(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^2 + \alpha = c \in F \backslash \wp(F)$. Then Q contains L if and only if $[1, a + c] \perp b[1, a]$ is isotropic.*

Proof. Let $\pi = (Q, \mathrm{Nrd}_Q)$. By (3.3), L contained in Q if and only if $[1, c]$ is a subform of π. By (2.1), this is the case if and only if $i_W(\pi \perp [1, c]) = 2$. We have that

$$\pi \perp [1, c] \simeq [1, a] \perp b[1, a] \perp [1, c] \simeq [1, a + c] \perp b[1, a] \perp \mathbb{H}.$$

Hence $i_W(\pi \perp [1, c]) = 2$ if and only if $[1, a + c] \perp b[1, a]$ is isotropic. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let Q_1 and Q_2 be quaternion F–algebras and let $\pi_i = (Q_i, \mathrm{Nrd}_{Q_i})$ for $i = 1, 2$. The following are equivalent.*

(1) *$Q_1 \otimes_F Q_2$ is not division.*
(2) *Q_1 and Q_2 contain a common quadratic separable extension of F.*
(3) *$i_W((\pi_1 \perp \pi_2)_{\mathrm{an}}) > 1$.*

Proof. For the equivalence of (1) and (2), see [10]. For the equivalence of (1) and (3), see [8, (16.5)]. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let $K = F((t))$ and take $a, b \in K$. Then $[a, t)_K \simeq [b, t)_K$ if and only if $[1, a] \simeq [1, b]$ over F.*

Proof. If $[1, a] \simeq [1, b]$ then $\langle\langle t, a]] \simeq \langle\langle t, b]]$, and the result follows by (3.1). Assume that $[a, t)_K \simeq [b, t)_K$. Then $\langle\langle t, a]] \perp \langle\langle t, b]]$ is hyperbolic by (3.1). It then follows from (2.8) that $[1, a] \perp [1, b]$ is hyperbolic. Hence $[1, a] \simeq [1, b]$ by (2.1) as they are both 2–dimensional. □

4. Linkage of quaternion algebras

Let Q and Q' be quaternion F algebras. We say that Q and Q' *share a field K* if K is contained in both Q and Q'. We say that

- Q is *totally (separably or inseparably) linked* to Q' if every (separably or inseparably) quadratic field extension of F contained in Q is shared by Q and Q',
- Q and Q' are *totally (separably or inseparably) linked* if Q is totally (separably or inseparably) linked to Q' and vice versa.

Note that if Q is not division then by (3.1) (Q, Nrd_Q) is universal. It then easily follows from (3.2) and (3.4) that every non-division quaternion F–algebra contains every quadratic field extension of F, and indeed all non-division quaternion F–algebra are isomorphic. Therefore our linkage properties are mainly interesting for division algebras.

Question 4.1. Let Q and Q' be division quaternion F–algebras. For which fields F does totally linked imply $Q \simeq Q'$? For which fields F does totally separably/inseparably linked imply $Q \simeq Q'$?

We now show that in general being totally (separably or inseparably) linked is not a symmetric relation, that being totally inseparably linked is independent of being totally separably linked, and that two totally linked quaternion algebras need not be isomorphic.

For a field extension K/F and quaternion F algebra Q, we denote the quaternion K–algebra $Q \otimes_F K$ by Q_K.

Throughout this section, let Q and Q' be division quaternion F–algebras and let $a, a' \in F$ and $b, b' \in F^\times$ be such that $Q \simeq [a, b)$ and $Q' \simeq [a', b')$. We denote their norm forms by $\pi = \langle\langle b, a]]$ and $\pi' = \langle\langle b', a']]$ respectively.

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that Q' is not totally inseparably linked to Q. Then there exists a field extension E/F such that Q_E is totally inseparably linked to Q'_E but not vice versa.*

Proof. Fix a field extension K/F such that Q_K and Q'_K are division and Q'_K is not inseparably linked to Q_K. Further assume that there exists a field $K(\sqrt{d'})$ for some $d' \in K\backslash K^2$ such that $K(\sqrt{d'})$ is contained in Q'_K but not in Q_K. By (3.1) and (3.2), we have that π_K, π'_K and $\psi = b[1, a] \perp \langle 1, d' \rangle$ are anisotropic.

Suppose that for some $d \in K\backslash K^2$, Q_K contains $K(\sqrt{d})$ but Q'_K does not. Let $\varphi = (Q'_{\mathrm{pr}}, \mathrm{Nrd}_{Q'}|_{Q'_{\mathrm{pr}}})_K$. Then $\rho = \varphi \perp \langle d \rangle$ is anisotropic by (3.2). Let $L_d = K(\rho)$. Then π_{L_d} and π'_{L_d} are anisotropic by (2.4, (1)) as ρ is clearly not similar to a Pfister form as it is singular. Assume that ψ_{L_d} is isotropic. Then by (2.4, (5)), ψ and ρ are similar. Due to the uniqueness of the quasilinear part, it follows that $\langle 1, d' \rangle$ and $\langle 1, d \rangle$ are similar and hence isometric by (2.3). Hence $K(\sqrt{d}) = K(\sqrt{d'})$, which contradicts our assumptions on Q_K and $Q_{K'}$. Therefore, ψ_{L_d} is anisotropic, which means that Q_{L_d} and Q'_{L_d} are division algebras, both containing $L_d(\sqrt{d})$, but Q_{L_d} does not contain $L_d(\sqrt{d'})$.

Let $F = F_0$ and assume that there exists a field $F(\sqrt{d'})$ that is contained in Q' but not in Q. We build the field E inductively. Let F_j be the composita of the extensions L_d for all $d \in F_j \backslash F_j^2$ as in the previous paragraph with $K = F_j$. Let $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$. Then by construction Q_E is totally inseparably linked to Q'_E but not vice versa. □

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that Q' is not totally separably linked to Q. Then there exists a field extension E/F such that Q_E is totally separably linked to Q'_E but not vice versa.*

Proof. Fix a field extension K/F such that Q_K and Q'_K are division and Q'_K is not inseparably linked to Q_K. Further assume that there exists a field $K(\alpha')$ for $\alpha'^2 + \alpha' = c' \in K\backslash \wp(K)$ such that $K(\alpha')$ is contained in Q'_K but not in Q_K. By (3.1) and (3.4), we have that π_K, π_K and $\psi = b[1, a] \perp [1, a + c']$ are anisotropic.

Suppose that for $\alpha^2 + \alpha = c$ for some $c \in K\backslash \wp(K)$, Q_K contains $K(\alpha)$ but Q'_K does not. Let $\rho = [1, a' + c] \perp b'[1, a']$. Then ρ is anisotropic by (3.4). Let $L_c = K(\rho)$. Then π_{L_c} and π'_{L_c} are anisotropic by (2.4, (1)) as $\Delta(\rho) \notin \wp(K)$ and hence ρ is not similar to a 2–fold Pfister form. Assume that ψ_{L_c} is isotropic then since ψ and ρ are nonsingular and have non-trivial Arf invariant, by (2.4, (4)) ψ and ρ are similar. This means that $c + c' \in \wp(K)$ and hence $K(\alpha) = K(\alpha')$, which contradicts our assumptions on Q_K and $Q_{K'}$. Therefore, ψ_{L_c} is anisotropic, which means that Q_{L_c} and Q'_{L_c} are division algebras, both containing $L_c(\alpha)$, but Q_{L_d} does not contain $L_d(\alpha')$.

We can now construct the required field E in a similar manner to the field constructed in (4.2). □

Proposition 4.4. *Assume that Q and Q' are not totally separably linked. Then there exists a field extension E/F such that Q_E and Q'_E are division, totally inseparably linked but not totally separably linked.*

Proof. Fix a field extension K/F such that Q_K and Q'_K are division and not totally separably linked. Further assume that there exists a field $K(\alpha)$ for $\alpha^2 + \alpha = c \in K\backslash \wp(K)$ such that $K(\alpha)$ is contained in Q'_K but not in Q_K. By (3.1) and (3.4), we have that π_K, π'_K and $\psi = b[1, a] \perp [1, a + c]$ are anisotropic over K.

Suppose that for some $d \in K\backslash K^2$, Q_K contains $K(\sqrt{d})$ but Q'_K does not. Let $\varphi = (Q'_{\mathrm{pr}}, \mathrm{Nrd}_{Q'}|_{Q'_{\mathrm{pr}}})_K$. Then $\rho = \varphi\perp\langle d\rangle$ is anisotropic by (3.2). Let $L_d = K(\rho)$. Then π_{L_d} and π'_{L_d} are anisotropic by (2.4, (1)) as ρ is clearly not similar to a Pfister form as it is singular. Moreover ψ_{L_d} is anisotropic by (2.4, (2)). As ρ_{L_d} is clearly isotropic, Q'_{L_d} contains $L_d(\sqrt{c})$ by (3.2). Therefore Q_{L_d} and Q'_{L_d} are division algebras by (3.1) both containing $L_d(\sqrt{d})$ but with Q_{L_d} not containing $L_d(\alpha)$ by (3.4). Similarly, if $K(\sqrt{d'})$ for $d' \in K\backslash K^2$ is contained in Q'_K but not Q_K, we can construct a field extension $L'_{d'}/K$ such that $Q_{L'_{d'}}$ and $Q'_{L'_{d'}}$ are division algebras both containing $L_{d'}(\sqrt{d'})$ but with $Q_{L'_{d'}}$ not containing $L_{d'}(\alpha)$.

We can now construct the required field E in a similar manner to the field constructed in (4.2), where at each step in the induction we take the field compositum of the fields L_d and $L_{d'}$ ranging over all d and d' as above.

□

Proposition 4.5. *Assume that Q and Q' are not totally inseparably linked. Then there exists a field extension E/F such that Q_E and Q'_E are division, totally separably linked but not totally inseparably linked.*

Proof. Fix a field extension K/F such that Q_K and Q'_K are division and not totally inseparably linked. Further assume that there exists a field $K(\sqrt{d})$ for some $d \in K\backslash K^2$ such that $K(\sqrt{d})$ is contained in Q'_K but not in Q_K. By (3.1) and (3.2), we have that π_K, π'_K and $\psi = b[1, a]\perp\langle 1, d\rangle$ are anisotropic over K.

Suppose that for $\alpha^2 + \alpha = c$ for some $c \in K\backslash\wp(K)$, Q_K contains $K(\alpha)$ but Q'_K does not. Let $\rho = [1, a' + c]\perp b'[1, a']$. Then ρ is anisotropic by (3.4). Let $L_c = K(\rho)$. Then π_{L_c} and π'_{L_c} are anisotropic by (2.4, (1)) as $\Delta(\rho) \notin \wp(K)$ and hence ρ is not similar to a 2–fold Pfister form. Moreover ψ_{L_c} is anisotropic by (2.4, (3)). As ρ_{L_c} is clearly isotropic, Q'_{L_c} contains $L_c(\alpha)$ by (3.4). Therefore Q_{L_c} and Q'_{L_c} are division algebras by (3.1) both containing $L_c(\alpha)$ but with Q_{L_c} not containing $L_c(\sqrt{d})$ by (3.2).

We can now construct the required field E in a similar manner to the field constructed in (4.4). □

Lemma 4.6. *Assume that Q and Q' are not isomorphic. Then there exists a field extension K/F such that Q_K and Q'_K are division, share a separable quadratic extension of K but are not isomorphic.*

Proof. Assume that Q and Q' do not share a separable quadratic extension of F. Let $\psi = (\pi\perp\pi')_{\mathrm{an}}$. By (3.5), we have that, $\dim(\psi) = 6$ (note that $\pi\perp\pi'$ is automatically isotropic, as both forms represent 1). Let $K = F(\psi)$. Then, as ψ_K is obviously isotropic, Q_K and Q_K are share a separable quadratic extension of K by (3.5). By [4, (26.5)], we have that π_K and π'_K are anisotropic and hence Q_K and Q'_K are division by (3.1). Moreover, ψ_K is not hyperbolic by [4, (23.4)], and hence Q_K and Q'_K are not isomorphic by (3.1) and (2.1). □

Theorem 4.7. *Assume Q and Q' are not isomorphic. Then there exists a field extension E/F such that Q_E and Q'_E are division, totally separably and inseparably linked but not isomorphic.*

Proof. By (4.6), we may assume that Q and Q' share a separable quadratic extension of F. Therefore, there exist $a, b, b' \in K$ be such that $Q \simeq [a, b)_F$ and $Q' \simeq [a, b')_F$. Let $\rho = b[1, a]\perp b'[1, a]$ and note that π is similar to a 2–fold Pfister form. It follows from (3.1) and (2.1), that for any field extension K/F, $Q_K \simeq Q_{K'}$ if and only if ρ_K is hyperbolic.

Let L/F be a field extension constructed similarly to the field extension constructed in (4.4). By the construction of L, Q_L and Q'_L are division and totally inseparably linked. Further, arguing as in (4.4), we see that any anisotropic 2–fold Pfister form over F is anisotropic over E. In particular ρ_L is anisotropic, and hence Q_L and Q'_L are not isomorphic.

Similarly, let E/L be a field extension constructed similarly to the field extension constructed in (4.5). By the construction of E, Q_E and Q'_E are division and totally separably linked. Further, we see, again by the construction of E, that ρ_E is anisotropic, and hence Q_E and Q'_E are not isomorphic.

By repeating these two constructions inductively, similar to the arguments in (4.4) and (4.5), we arrive at the required field extension. □

Finally, we give an example of a quaternion algebras meeting the conditions in the results from this section, showing that the claimed examples of quaternion algebras exist.

Example 4.8. Let $\mathbb{F}_2$ be the field of two elements and let $F = \mathbb{F}_2(x, y, z, w)$, where x, y, z and w are indeterminates. Then $Q_1 = [x, y)_F$ and $Q_2 = [z, w)_F$ are division algebras over F that are neither totally separably linked nor totally inseparably linked. In particular, they are not isomorphic.

Proof. That Q_1 and Q_2 are division follows from (3.1) and (2.7). We clearly have that Q_2 contains $F(\sqrt{w})$. However, since $\langle 1\rangle \perp y[1, x]$ is anisotropic over $\mathbb{F}_2(x, y, z)$, as it must be anisotropic over F, we have that $\langle 1, w\rangle \perp y[1, x]$ is anisotropic over F by (2.6). Therefore $F(\sqrt{w})$ is not contained in Q_1 by (3.2), and hence Q_1 and Q_2 are not totally inseparably linked. In particular, they cannot be isomorphic.

It remains to show that Q_1 and Q_2 are not totally separably linked. The field $F(\alpha)$ with $\alpha^2 + \alpha = z$ is clearly contained in Q_2. Consider the quadratic form $[1, x + z] \perp y[1, x]$. This is isotropic over F if and only if $[1, x + z]$ is isotropic over F by (2.6) and (2.5). That $[1, x + z]$ is anisotropic follows by a similar argument to that in (2.5). Hence Q_1 and Q_2 are not totally separably linked by (3.4). □

5. Global fields and Laurent Series over Perfect Fields

We now give two classes of fields of characteristic 2 over which the separable linkage of two quaternion algebras implies that they are isomorphic. Recall, as stated in the introduction of [6], that totally linkage implies isomorphic over global fields of arbitrary characteristic. In characteristic 2 this can be slightly strengthened, to totally separably linked implies isomorphic.

By a global field we mean the a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}(t)$, where t is a variable and $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ is the field with 2^n elements. We call F, a field of characteristic 2, *perfect* if $F^2 = F$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let F be a perfect field of characeristic 2 and let $K = F((t))$. Let Q be a quaternion K–algebra. Then $Q \simeq [a, t)_K$ for some $a \in F$.*

Proof. See [13, Chapt. XIV, §5, Prop. 12]. □

Proposition 5.2. *Let F be a global field of characeristic 2 and let Q and Q' be totally separably linked division quaternion F–algebras. Let v be a discrete valuation on F and let K denote the completion of F with respect to v. Then Q_K is split if and only if Q'_K is split.*

Proof. Assume that Q_K is not split and Q'_K is. By the theorem of Grunwald-Wang (see [11, Thm. 1]) there then exists a separable quadratic extension L/F such that Q_L is split and Q'_L is division. Hence L is a subfield of Q but not Q' by [2, §14, Thm. 5]. □

Theorem 5.3. *Let F be a global field of characeristic 2 and let Q and Q' be division quaternion F–algebras that are totally separably linked. Then $Q \simeq Q'$.*

Proof. Every finite field of characteristic 2 has a unique extension of degree 2. Hence (3.6) and (5.1) imply that there is only one isomorphism class of division quaternion algebras over $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}((t))$. Therefore, by [1, Thm. 9], there is only one isomorphism class of division quaternion algebras over a completion of F with respect to any discrete valuation.

Let K be a completion of F with respect to an arbitrary discrete valution. By (5.2), Q_K and Q'_K are either both split or both division and hence isomorphic to the unique division quaternion K–algebra. It follows that $(Q \otimes_F Q')_K$ is split. By Albert-Brauer-Hasse-Noether theorem, [12, (8.1.17)], it follows that $Q \otimes_F Q'$ is split, and hence $Q \simeq Q'$. □

As all 2–fold Pfister forms are hyperbolic over perfect fields, the quaternion algebras of such fields are never division by (3.1). However, the situation is not so simple for the field of Laruent series over such a field. For the rest of this section, let F be a perfect field of characteristic 2 and let $K = F((t))$, the Laurent series over F in one variable.

First we note that all quaternion K–algebras are totally inseparably linked.

Lemma 5.4. *The quadratic form $\langle 1, t\rangle$ is universal over K.*

Proof. Every element in K is of the form $\sum_{k=d}^{\infty} c_k t^k$ for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $c_k \in F$. For every c_k there exists a square-root in F, which we denote by s_k. If d is even then

$$\sum_{k=d}^{\infty} c_k t^k = \left(\sum_{k=\frac{d}{2}}^{\infty} s_{2k} t^k\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{k=\frac{d}{2}}^{\infty} s_{2k+1} t^k\right)^2 t\,.$$

If d is odd then

$$\sum_{k=d}^{\infty} c_k t^k = \left(\sum_{k=\frac{d+1}{2}}^{\infty} s_{2k} t^k\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{k=\frac{d-1}{2}}^{\infty} s_{2k+1} t^k\right)^2 t\,.$$

□

Proposition 5.5. *Let Q be a quaternion K–algebra and L/K any inseparable quadratic extension of K. Then Q contains L.*

Proof. By (5.1), there exists an $a \in F$ such that $Q \simeq [a, t)_K$. Hence

$$\rho = (Q_{\mathrm{pr}}, \mathrm{Nrd}_Q|_{Q_{\mathrm{pr}}}) \simeq \langle 1\rangle \perp t[1, a]\,.$$

Hence by (5.4), ρ represents every element in K. The result then follows from (3.2). □

Corollary 5.6. *All divison quaternion K–algebras are totally inseparably linked to each other.*

Theorem 5.7. *Let Q and Q' be division quaternion K–algebras. If there exists an element $c \in F$ with $c \notin \wp(K)$ and such that $L = K(\alpha)$, where $\alpha^2 + \alpha = c$, is contained in Q and Q', then $Q \simeq Q'$.*

Proof. By (5.1), $Q \simeq [a, t)$ and $Q' \simeq [b, t)$ for some $a, b \in F$. Assume that Q and Q' contain L. Then $[1, a + c] \perp t[1, a]$is isotropic by (3.4). It then follows from (2.8) that either $[1, a+c]$ or $[1, a]$ is isotropic, but $[1, a]$ is anisotropic by the assumption that Q' is division. Hence $[1, a + c]$ is isotropic, and therefore isometric to $\mathbb{H}$. It then follows from (2.2) that $a = c \mod \wp(F)$. Arguing similarly, we see that $b = c \mod \wp(F)$. Hence $[1, a] \simeq d[1, b]$ for some $d \in F^\times$ by (2.2) and therefore $[1, a] \simeq [1, b]$ by (2.3). That $Q_1 \simeq Q_2$ follows from (3.6). □

Corollary 5.8. *Let Q and Q' be division quaternion K–algebras. If Q and Q' are totally separably linked, then $Q \simeq Q'$.*

Proof. By (5.1), every quaternion K–algebra contains a field L, where $L = K(\alpha)$ is such that $\alpha^2 + \alpha = a \in F$ and $a \notin \wp(K)$. The result then follows from (5.7). □

Acknowledgements

The collaboration between the authors started as a result of the workshop on "Torsors, Motives and Cohomological Invraiants" held at Fields Institute, Toronto in May 2013. We wish to thank Pasquale Mammone for bringing up the main research question. The first author is supported by Wallonie-Bruxelles International. The second author is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (project *The Pfister Factor Conjecture in characteristic two*, BE 2614/3-1).

References

[1] I.S. Cohen. On the structure and ideal theory of complete local rings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 59:54–106, 1946.
[2] P.K. Draxl. *Skew Fields*, volume 81 of *London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes*. Cambridge University Press, 1983.
[3] A. Elduque and O. Villa. A note on the linkage of Hurwitz algebras. *Manuscripta Math.*, 117(1):105–110, 2005.
[4] R. Elman, N. Karpenko, and A. Merkurjev. *The Algebraic and Geometric Theory Quadratic Forms*, volume 56 of *Colloq. Publ., Am. Math. Soc.* Am. Math. Soc., 2008.
[5] F. Faivre. Liaison des formes de pfister et corps de fonctions de quadriques en caractéristique 2. *Ph.D. thesis, Université de Franche-Comté*, 2006.
[6] S. Garibaldi and D.J. Saltman. Quaternion algebras with the same subfields. In *Quadratic forms, linear algebraic groups, and cohomology*, volume 18 of *Dev. Math.*, pages 225–238. Springer, New York, 2010.
[7] D. Hoffmann and A. Laghribi. Isotropy of quadratic forms over the function field of a quadric in characteristic 2. *J. Algebra*, 295:362–386, 2006.
[8] M.-A. Knus, A.S. Merkurjev, M. Rost, and J.-P. Tignol. *The Book of Involutions*, volume 44 of *Colloq. Publ., Am. Math. Soc.* Am. Math. Soc., 1998.
[9] A. Laghribi. Certaines formes quadratiques de dimension au plus 6 et corps des fonctions en caractéristique 2. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 129(1):317–361, 2002.
[10] T.Y. Lam. On the linkage of quaternion algebras. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.*, 9:415–418, 2003.
[11] F. Lorenz and P. Roquette The theorem of Grunwald-Wang in the setting of valuation theory. *Valuation theory and its applications*, (9):175–212, 2003.
[12] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, and K. Wingberg. *Cohomology of number fields*, Springer, 2013.
[13] J.-P. Serre. *Local fields*, volume 67. Springer New York, 1979.

E-mail address: adam1chapman@yahoo.com

ICTEAM Institute, Université Catholique de Louvain, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

E-mail address: Andrew.Dolphin@uantwerpen.be

Departement Wiskunde–Informatica, Universiteit Antwerpen, Belgium

E-mail address: ahmed.laghribi@univ-artois.fr

Faculté des Sciences Jean Perrin, Laboratoire de mathématiques de Lens EA 2462, rue Jean Souvraz - SP18, 62307 Lens, France